

LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY[501]

Regulatory Analysis

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 501—Chapter 12
“Child Support”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 17A, 80B, and 252J
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code chapters 80B and 252J

Public Hearing

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

July 14, 2026
9 to 10 a.m.

In person: 7105 NW 70th Avenue
Burma Road, Building A41
Johnston, Iowa
Online: us06web.zoom.us/j/88403237275

Public Comment

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy no later than 4:30 p.m. on July 14, 2026. Comments should be directed to:

Kristi Traynor
Iowa Law Enforcement Academy
P.O. Box 130
Johnston, Iowa 50131
Email: kristi.traynor@iowa.gov

Purpose and Summary

This proposed rulemaking rescinds Chapter 12 because it is now reorganized into a new 501—Chapter 7.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

• **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**

This proposed rulemaking does not have a cost to the public.

• **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**

This proposed rulemaking reorganizes the Iowa Law Enforcement Academy Council’s rules into 501—Chapter 7, benefiting law enforcement and reserve officers, as well as anyone else who may access the Council’s administrative rules.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

• **Quantitative description of impact:**

The reorganization of this chapter into new 501—Chapter 7 provides better rule organization for law enforcement officers and reserve officers accessing the administrative rules.

• **Qualitative description of impact:**

The rescission of Chapter 12 reorganizes the existing rules and places content from this chapter detailing the process for the revocation, suspension, or denial of a certification for nonpayment of child support immediately after the chapter on the Code of Professional Conduct. Thus, the two chapters on licensing sanctions are now back-to-back.

3. Costs to the State:

• **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

There will be no implementation or enforcement costs for rescinding Chapter 12. The Academy has staff who already engage in similar enforcement functions for the Council.

• **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

This proposed rulemaking has no anticipated impact on State revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

This proposed rulemaking organizes the Council’s administrative rules so that the chapters detailing sanctions for certifications are back-to-back. The reorganization also replaces a chapter rescinded by the Uniform Rules on Agency Procedure, removing a gap in the Council’s administrative rules.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

No less costly methods exist than reorganizing the Council’s administrative rules and eliminating unnecessary gaps in unused chapters in accordance with the goals and directives of Executive Order 10.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

• **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

No alternative methods were considered.

• **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

• Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

• Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking’s compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

• Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

• Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The proposed rulemaking does not have a substantial impact on small business. This rulemaking does not establish design or operational standards.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve **501—Chapter 12.**